



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/841,582	04/24/2001	Kazuo Nishiyama	09792909-4979	5398
7	7590 10/02/2002			
LEWIS T. STEADMAN, SR. ESQ			EXAMINER	
55 WEST MO	KNIGHT LLP NROE STREET		CRUZ, LOURDES C	
SUITE 800 CHICAGO, IL 60603			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
cincado, il	, 00003		2827	
			DATE MAILED: 10/02/2002	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/841,582	NISHIYAMA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAU INC DATE of this communication of	Lourdes C. Cruz	2827				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions after the reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statent or any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ute, cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	<u> July 2002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicati	On					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>10-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Claim(s) e subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 April 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/841,582

Art Unit: 2827

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-9 in Paper No. 9 is acknowledged.

Drawings

Figures 9-14 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 22, line 18 describes a figure 5, however there is no figure 5. Page 25, line 1 has some non-English characters in it.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/841,582

Art Unit: 2827

Page 3

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-12 recite or depend upon a claim that recites "a chip-like" component. This term encompasses other "components" that are not necessarily semiconductor chips/dice. Additionally, this terminology renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear to the examiner whether Applicant's invention as claimed is directed towards a semiconductor chip or not.

Claim 3 recites "at a position". It is unclear if the chip is diced at a position, or if the semiconductor chip is disposed at the same position, of the protective material.

Claims 5,6, and 8 recite "wherein a plurality and/or..." It is unclear as to what exactly the first recited plurality refers to.

Additionally, claim 3 recites "said electrode". This lacks antecedent basis.

Claim 6 recites "and bonded with each other". It is unclear if the interspaces are bonded with each other or if the bottom surfaces are.

Regarding all the 112 rejections above, see that the claims have been rendered confusing and indefinite and have therefore been examined below as best understood by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in-

Application/Control Number: 09/841,582 Page 4

Art Unit: 2827

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under

the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Corisis et al. (US 5956236).

Corisis et al. discloses a chip-like electronic component (See Fig. 5) comprising:

At least its electrodes (underneath 16, connected to 16) formed exclusively on one surface thereof, and surfaces other than said one surface being continuously covered with a protective material 14b.

Corisis et al also discloses the above electronic component wherein:

- Said protective material comprises an organic resin or an inorganic insulating material (Col. 3, lines 50+)
- A semiconductor chip 12 mounted on a package substrate 10, the electrode formed on a device surface of the chip, and both a side wall and a bottom surface of the chip are covered with the protective material
- Chips bonded to each other
- Chips bonded by said protective material and a solder bump 16 on the electrodes, the electrodes formed solely on one surface of the chip and wherein interspaces and bottom surfaces of the chips are continuously covered by the protective material

Art Unit: 2827

A Chip array

Regarding claims 3, and 8:

A "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how

actually made, In re Brown, 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck, 177 USPQ 523; In re

Fessmann, 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery, 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90

(209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; and

particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the

patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by

process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious

product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in

"product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such

cases, as the above case law makes clear.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lourdes C. Cruz whose telephone number is 703-306-

5691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David L Talbott can be reached on 703-305-9883. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722

for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final communications.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/841,582

Art Unit: 2827

Page 6

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Lourdes C. Cruz Examiner Art Unit 2827

Lourdes Cruz September 25, 2002

KAMAND CUNEO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800